**CARE Scoring**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Domain** | **Measure, value range** | **Scoring** | **Cut-off** | **Reference** |
| **Functional status** | OARS Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)OARS Activities of Daily Living (ADL) | Summed, 0-12Summed, 0-6 | <12, 12<6, 6 | (1-3) |
| **Physical function** | Walking 1 blockClimbing 1 flight of stairsVigorous ActivitiesFallsSelf-rated ECOG PS | Single items | Not limited, limitedFalls ≥1, 0ECOG ≥2, 0-1 | (3, 4) |
| **Health-Related QOL** | PROMIS 10-item Global Health- Physical- Mental | Single item global healthT-score (0-100)**Physical items**: physicalhealth, everydayactivities, fatigue, pain**Mental items**: qol, mentalhealth, socialsatis, anx/depT-score (0-100) | Excellent/very good/good vs. fair/poorT-score ≤40, ≥41 | (5) |
| **Nutrition** | Abbreviated Patient-Generated Subjective Global Assessment (abPG-SGA) | * Weight loss
* See PG-SGA scoring sheet for abbreviated scoring: sum of 4 boxes
 | 0-35<6, ≥6 | (6, 7) |
| **Social Support** | Medical Outcomes Survey (MOS) Social support 8 item* (Instrumental items 1-4 /Emotional items 5-8 subscales)
 | Each item dichotomized as ≤3, 4-5 | Any item ≤3* Total
* Per separate domains
 | (8) |
| **Psychological** | PROMIS Anxiety short form (SF) 4PROMIS Depression SF 4 | Summed 4-20 raw score-> T-score (0-100) | Raw score: ≥11, 10 (or t-score >60) | (9-11) |
| **Cognitive Function** | PROMIS Cognitive Function SF 4 | Summed 4-20 raw score-> T-score (0-100) | Raw score: Normal 18-20, mild 12-17, moderate 6-11, severe <6Dichotomized: >11, =<11 | (12) |
| **Comorbidity** | OARS Comorbidity# of comorbidities# of medicationsVision/hearing | No/yes summed (0-13)0- …Single items | Conditions ≥3, ≤2- Any with interferenceMeds <9, ≥9≥3, ≤2 | (13-16)  |
| **Social Activities** | MOS | 0-8 |  |  |
| **Financial Toxicities** | Single item from Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire (PSQ-18) | Single item | ≤2, ≥3 | (17, 18) |
| ***Overall Summary Scoring*** | Frailty Index | Sum of 44 dichotomized items divided by total items, 0-1 (must have answered at least 50%) | robust (0–0.2)pre-frail (0.2–0.35)frail (>0.35) | (19-22) |
|  | Geriatric Assessment-Deficit | A count of GA deficits that include ≥1 fall, IADL (at least two impaired), ADL, limited a lot walking a block, weight loss per abPG-SGA scoring (3% 1 month or 6% at 6 months), ecog ≥3, social support, anxiety, depression, cognition, meds ≥9, social activities interefere most or all, comorbidities ≥4 per OARS,  | 0-13 (continuous)≥1, 0 dichotomized | (2) |
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