ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNITY CANCER CENTERS

I M M U N O -ONCOLOGY INSTITUTE

IO SUBSPECIALTY INSIGHT SERIES Transitioning to Primary Care After IO Treatment

REGINA JACOB, MD, MSCE, FACP

hile immuno-oncology (IO) therapies have heralded significant advancements in cancer care, there are still many unknowns when it comes to the long-term side effects of these relatively new agents. Since ambulatory care typically involves long-term chronic disease management, these unknowns can often translate to management uncertainty.

How can cancer and primary care teams improve communication?

Nationwide, primary care clinicians report being interested in and willing to manage the long-term care of cancer survivors, although most say they feel ill-equipped to do so. For example, primary care providers who care for patients who have received traditional cancer therapies, including radiation and chemotherapeutic agents, report sometimes unreliable communication between primary care and oncology, which then translates to uncertainty in both cancer surveillance and management of potential long-term side effects of those therapies.¹ With IO therapies, these gaps in communication hold much higher stakes. Unlike traditional cancer therapies, in which most side effects typically occur during or immediately after treatment, IO therapies can have long-term side effects that can resurface well beyond 90 days and sometimes even years after treatment.

Consequently, communication between a patient's cancer care team and primary care teams is imperative. It is crucial that both patients and primary care clinicians be provided sufficient information and instruction about the specific therapies used and what side effects may require urgent care. Many primary care clinicians state that if they are given adequate information about the therapies a patient has received, they are better equipped to be vigilant on their behalf.

Screening for and managing the delayed side effects that may arise in IO patients is vital, particularly since specific guidelines for doing so are still being developed. As more primary care clinicians learn about the late-term side effects of IO therapies and monitor their patients for them, a more evidence-based approach to screening for such side effects will evolve and spread. Much like with traditional cancer therapies such as chemotherapy and radiation, screening for delayed IO side effects may simply require clinicians to conduct a detailed review of symptoms, since there is currently little evidence to support the use of surveillance imaging.

Treatment information can be easily provided by the cancer care team to patients and primary care clinicians using a survivorship care plan, or the information can be conveyed via direct communication between the oncology team and the primary care team. While there is still much debate as to whether current survivorship care plans are sufficient for IO patients, limitations seem to be primarily related to the inconsistency and variability with which they are used.

Regardless of the form it takes, with open communication between patients' cancer and primary care teams, we can ensure IO patients do not "fall through the cracks" when their active treatment comes to an end. What's more, patients generally feel more comfortable when all of their care providers are aligned and working together as a cohesive network. When it comes to IO therapies this is absolutely critical, as improved communication can empower patients to feel more confident in their long-term survivorship care.

Reference

1. Nissen MJ, Beran MS, Lee MW, Mehta SR, Pine DA, Swenson KK. Views of primary care providers on follow-up care of cancer patients. *Fam Med*. 2006;39(7):477-482. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17602321.



Regina Jacob, MD, MSCE, FACP is an Associate Professor of Medicine at The Lewis Katz School of Medicine at Temple University. Dr. Jacob grows her cancer survivorship expertise by designing curricula to educate internal medicine residents on how to tailor primary care for patients with cancer.

The ACCC Immuno-Oncology Institute is supported by Bristol Myers Squibb (charitable donation) and Merck & Co, Inc. (Care Coordination educational grant).

The Association of Community Cancer Centers (ACCC) is the leading education and advocacy organization for the cancer care community. Founded in 1974, ACCC is a powerful network of 28,000 multidisciplinary practitioners from 2,100 hospitals and practices nationwide. As advances in cancer screening and diagnosis, treatment options, and care delivery models continue to evolve—so has ACCC—adapting its resources to meet the changing needs of the entire oncology care team. For more information, visit accc-cancer.org or call 301.984.9496. Follow us on Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, and Instagram; read our blog, ACCCBuzz; and tune in to our podcast, CANCER BUZZ.

The ACCC Immuno-Oncology Institute is the leader in optimizing the delivery of cancer immunotherapies for patients by providing clinical education, advocacy, research, and practice management solutions for cancer care teams across all healthcare settings. View the "Immuno-Oncology Insights" series from the ACCC IO Institute Working Groups–and join the conversation online–at accc-cancer.org/IO-Insights.

